# IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

<b>§</b>		
§		
§	Case No. 09-39313	
§		
§	Jointly Administered	
<b>§</b>	Chapter 11	
	\$ \$ \$	\$ \$ Case No. 09-39313 \$ \$ Jointly Administered

# TRUSTEE'S OBJECTION TO ASAMAR MOTION TO CLASSIFY CLAIM (relates to Dkt. No. 579)

TO THE HONORABLE MARVIN ISGUR, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

The Liquidating Trustee, on behalf of the Deep Marine Liquidating Trust as successor to the above named Debtors (the "Trustee"), by and through its undersigned attorneys, hereby files this Objection to the Motion to Classify Claim of Bunker Supplier Asamar as a Maritime Junior Secured Claim, Class D4 (Deep Marine 2, LLC, M/V DMT Emerald) and Supporting Memorandum (the "Motion"), and in support thereof, respectfully represent as follows:

# I. RELEVANT FACTUAL BACKGROUND

1. On December 4, 2009 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtors filed voluntary petitions for relief under chapter 11, Title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division (the "Court"). Asamarbunkers Consultadoria e Paricipacoes Unipessoal Lda ("Asamar") received notice of the filing of the Debtors' petitions and various other first day filings on the Petition Date, as evidenced by the Certificate of Service filed with this Court on December 7, 2009, at docket number 5. From the Petition Date on, Asamar was included on the Debtors' Master Service List and Asamar therefore received actual notice of every filing made by the Debtors in

this case, as evidenced by the certificates of service accompanying every filing made by the Debtors.

2. On December 24, 2009, the Clerk of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Texas sent its Notice of Chapter 11 Bankruptcy Case, Meeting of Creditors, & Deadlines (the "Initial Bar Date Notice") to all creditors on the Debtors' creditor matrix. Asamar was served with that notice as evidenced by the BNC Certificate of Mailing filed with the Court at docket number 74. As part of the Initial Bar Date Notice, the Clerk included its standard "Explanations" page, which page provides under the "Claims" section, in part, the following:

A Proof of Claim is a signed statement describing a creditor's claim. If a Proof of Claim form is not included with this notice, you can obtain one at any bankruptcy clerk's office. You may look at the schedules that have been or will be filed at the bankruptcy clerk's office. If your claim is scheduled and is *not* listed as disputed, contingent, or unliquidated, it will be allowed in the amount scheduled unless you filed a Proof of Claim or you are sent further notice about the claim. Whether or not your claim is scheduled, you are permitted to file a Proof of Claim. (emphasis added).

- 3. On January 4, 2010, Deep Marine Technology Incorporated ("<u>DMT</u>") filed its Schedules and Statement of Financial Affairs (doc. no. 92). DMT's Schedule F, "Creditors Holding Unsecured Nonpriority Claims" lists Asamar as holding an unsecured nonpriority claim against DMT in the amount of \$112,750.00 (the "<u>Scheduled Asamar Claim</u>"). The claim is not listed as contingent, unliquidated or disputed.<sup>1</sup>
- 4. Asamar's counsel filed a motion to appear pro hac vice in this case on February 10, 2010 (doc. no.'s 191 and 192).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Asamar Motion incorrectly states that the Asamar claim is listed in the schedules of Deep Marine Holdings, Inc.

5. On February 22, 2010, the Court entered its Order Granting Motion to Reduce Time for Non-Governmental Entities to File Proofs of Claim (the "Order Reducing Bar Date"), which set the deadline to file a proof of claim for all creditors (except governmental entities) as March 31, 2010. The Order Reducing Bar Date provides, in relevant part:

Any person or entity that is required to file a proof of claim or interest in the Debtors' cases but fails to do so in a timely manner shall be forever barred, estopped, and enjoined from (a) asserting any claim against the Debtors that such creditor has that (i) is in an amount that exceeds the amount, if any, that is set forth as non-contingent, liquidated and undisputed in the Debtors' schedules of liabilities, or (ii) is of a different nature or in a different classification (any such claim referred to as an "Unscheduled Claim"), and (b) voting upon, or receiving distribution under, any plan of reorganization or liquidation in the Debtors' cases in respect to an Unscheduled Claim. (emphasis added).

- 6. The Debtors served notice of the reduced bar date on the creditor matrix in the case on February 23, 2010, which includes Asamar (who had already formally appeared in the case at this point) and also published notice of the reduced bar date in the national edition of the Wall Street Journal on February 25, 2010.
- 7. Asamar did not file a proof of claim in this case despite having actual and timely notice of the bar date.

# II. OBJECTION AND BASIS THEREFORE

8. The Debtors object to the Motion and request that the relief sought therein be denied. The Motion is a thinly veiled attempt to seek permission to file (and allowance of) a late proof of claim, yet Asamar has provided no basis for such relief. Asamar had notice that its claim was scheduled as an unsecured claim and Asamar did not file a proof of claim asserting otherwise. Pursuant to unambiguous statutory language and the multitude of pleadings, notices, and orders in this case, Asamar is bound by the Debtors' schedules and the Motion should be denied.

# The Proof of Claim Process in Chapter 11

- 9. The general rule in chapter 11 is that a creditor must file a proof of claim in order to receive a distribution from a bankruptcy estate. *See* FED. R. BANKR. P. 3003(c)(2) ("Any creditor ... whose claim or interest is not scheduled or scheduled as disputed, contingent or unliquidated shall file a proof of claim ....").
- 10. Section 1111(a) of the Bankruptcy Code provides an exception to the general rule by stating that:

A proof of claim or interest is deemed filed under section 501 of this title for any claim or interest that appears in the schedules filed under section 521(1) or 1106(a)(2) of this title, except a claim or interest that is scheduled as disputed, contingent or unliquidated.

- 11 U.S.C. § 1111(a). Thus, if a debtor schedules a claim and indicates such claim is not disputed, contingent or unliquidated, then the debtor's schedule acts as a proof of claim for that creditor. Indeed, "the schedule of liabilities filed pursuant to § 521(1) of the Code shall constitute prima facie evidence of the validity and amount of claims of creditors, unless they are scheduled as disputed, contingent, or unliquidated." FED. R. BANKR. P. 3003(b)(1).
- 11. Any creditor who disagrees with the way its claim is scheduled has a right to file a proof of claim. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 501(a); FED. R. BANKR. P. 3003(c)(1). Upon such a creditor filing a proof of claim, the proof claim "shall supersede any scheduling of that claim ... pursuant to § 521(a)(1) of the Code." Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3003(c)(4). Pursuant to Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3003(c)(3), courts shall "fix ... the time within which proofs of claim may be filed." FED. R. BANKR. P. 3003(c)(3).

## Asamar Claim is Determined by DMT's Schedules

12. In the DMT bankruptcy case, DMT scheduled the claim of Asamar as an undisputed, noncontingent, liquidated unsecured nonpriority claim against DMT in the amount

of \$112,750.00. Pursuant to section 1111(a), the Scheduled Asamar Claim acts as a filed proof of claim and pursuant to Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3003(b)(1) the Scheduled Asamar Claim is prima facie evidence of the validity and amount of Asamar's claim against the Debtors. If Asamar disagreed with the Scheduled Asamar Claim, it had notice and opportunity to file its own proof of claim, which would have superseded the Scheduled Asamar Claim. *See* FED. R. BANKR. P. 3003(c)(4). In fact, DMT went beyond the requirements of the Code by notifying creditors via the Order Reducing Bar Date that creditors who fail to file a proof of claim would be forever barred from asserting claims "of a different nature or in a different classification" than the claims that DMT had scheduled.

13. Asamar never filed its own proof of claim. Consequently, the Scheduled Asamar Claim remains the deemed proof of claim for Asamar, and the validity and amount of the Scheduled Asamar Claim is all that Asamar is entitled to per the Code, the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, and the orders and notices in this case.

# Asamar's Motion Provides No Basis For Relief

14. The procedural process detailed in the Code and Bankruptcy Rules, and summarized above, applies to all creditors, including Asamar. Asamar itself admits that "Asamar's claim is allowed because it was included in Debtors' Schedule F, and as such is deemed by § 1111(a) to be filed under § 501." (Motion p. 5). However, Asamar goes on to state that "Asamar's claim is secured because it is a lien secured by property of the estate...." (Motion p. 5). The two statements are inconsistent—Asamar's deemed proof of claim per § 1111(a) is an unsecured claim because that is how it is scheduled; it cannot simultaneously be unsecured and secured. In order to convert the deemed unsecured proof of claim to a secured claim, Asamar needed to timely file a proof of claim to supersede the deemed claim. Asamar did not do so.

HOUSTON\2399906.1 -5-

- 15. A creditor with actual notice of a bankruptcy case from the petition date forward should not be allowed to assert a secured claim three months after the bar date and over a month after confirmation. Allowing such a reclassification would reap havoc on the entire claims and plan process. The Trustee would be paralyzed from making distributions lest a latecomer creditor declare that it is secured by assets or proceeds of assets. The claims and plan process were designed with purpose and allowing untimely secured claims in derogation of that process severely harms the bankruptcy process for debtors and other creditors.
- 16. Finally, Asamar's arguments that DMT "intentionally failed to identify [maritime liens]" and sought to "change the nature of Asamar's [claim]" are false and nonsensical. DMT has complied with all bankruptcy rules and regulations. The global notes accompanying DMT's Schedules and Statement of Financial Affairs clearly provide that:

Information requested by the Schedules and Statements requires the Debtors to make judgments regarding the appropriate category in which information should be present or how certain parties, claims or other data should be labeled. The Debtors' decisions regarding the category or label to use is based on the best information available as of the filing of these Schedules and Statements within the time constraints imposed.

## and also:

Certain creditors listed on Schedule F may be able to assert a secured or priority claim due to the existence of valid Maritime Liens. In these Schedules, the Debtor has made no attempt to identify or quantify such Maritime Liens.

17. With regard to maritime liens, such liens may be "secret liens" in that they may arise and perfect automatically, without a public filing. It is not the Debtors' burden to declare and award secret liens to creditor entities. Rather, the Debtors need only provide notice of the case and creditor entities must then protect their own interests by asserting such secret liens themselves. Numerous creditors in this case have done just that, by timely filing proofs of claim and by filing notices of lien perfection pursuant to § 546.

# The Confirmed Plan is Res Judicata

- 18. On June 2, 2010, the Debtors confirmed their Plan and on July 9, 2010, that Plan became effective. "The law in this circuit is well settled that a plan is binding upon all parties once it is confirmed and all questions that could have been raised *pertaining to such plan* are res judicata." *In re Howe*, 913 F.2d 1138 (5th Cir. 1990). Furthermore, the order confirming plan (the "Confirmation Order") provides that "The Plan, its provisions, and this Order shall be, and hereby are, binding upon the Debtors and any Creditor or equity security holder of the Debtors, whether or not the Claim or Interest of such Creditor or equity security holder is impaired under the Plan and whether or not such equity holder has accepted the Plan." (Confirmation Order ¶ 2).
- 19. The Plan in these cases provides that only "Allowed" claims shall receive a Plan Distributions (*See* Plan §§ 1.02(76), 3.06, 4.06). More specifically, the Plan provides that as to Maritime Junior Secured Claims against Deep Marine 2, LLC (i.e. the class which Asamar seeks to be classified in), only Holders of "Allowed Class D4" claims shall receive a distribution. (Plan § 3.08(4)).
- 20. An "Allowed" claim is defined by the Plan as, among other things, "any Claim ... listed on the Schedules as liquidated, non-contingent and undisputed ...." (Plan § 1.02(5)). The claim "listed on the Schedules as liquidated, non-contingent and undisputed" for Asamar, is the Scheduled Asamar Claim—an unsecured nonpriority claim against DMT in the amount of \$112,750.00. That is the only Allowed claim that Asamar has, and that is the only claim for which Asamar may receive a plan distribution per the Plan and Confirmation Order.
- 21. To the extent that Asamar now seeks to prosecute a claim other than the Scheduled Asamar Claim, as explained above, such a claim needed to be timely filed. Since no proof of claim was timely filed, any such claim is "Disputed" under the Plan. A "Disputed"

HOUSTON\2399906.1 -7-

claim under the Plan is, among other things, one not otherwise "Allowed" for which "proof ... was required to be Filed but as to which a Proof of Claim or Interest was not timely or properly Filed;" (Plan § 1.01(42)). "Disputed" claims do not receive plan distributions.

# Asamar Has Failed to Show Excusable Neglect

- 22. To the extent that Asamar's Motion is actually a motion to file a late proof of claim and the Court deems it as such, Asamar has failed to put forth a prima facie case to allow the Court to permit the late filing of a proof of claim. Bankruptcy Rule 9006(b)(1) empowers a bankruptcy court to permit the late filing of a proof of claim, if the movant's failure to comply with an earlier deadline "was the result of excusable neglect." FED. R. BANKR. P. 9006(b)(1).
- 23. In *Pioneer Inv. Servs. Co. v. Brunswick Assocs. Ltd. P'ship*, 507 U.S. 380, 395 (1993), the Supreme Court held that "[b]ecause Congress has provided no other guideposts for determining what sorts of neglect will be considered 'excusable,' we conclude that the determination is at bottom an equitable one, taking account of all relevant circumstances surrounding the party's omission." The Supreme Court went on to identify four factors to be considered in making this determination: (a) the danger of prejudice to the debtor; (b) the length of delay and its potential impact on judicial proceedings; (c) the reason for the delay, including whether it was within the reasonable control of the movant; and (d) whether the movant acted in good faith. *Id*.
- 24. First, Asamar has wholly failed to raise the issue of filing a late proof of claim under excusable neglect, choosing instead to pursue a "motion to classify." Absent fair notice, Asamar should not be heard to raise excusable neglect as a basis for filing a new claim.
- 25. Second, even if Asamar properly raised the issue of filing a late proof of claim under Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9006, Asamar cannot meet the factors set out by the Supreme Court in

HOUSTON\2399906.1 -8-

Pioneer. Specifically, the danger of prejudice to the Trust (as successor to the Debtors) is very

high. Opening the door for unsecured creditors to "reclassify" their claims as secured at this late

stage of the case would make it impossible for the Trust to make distributions. A "secured"

creditor could arise at any time and the Trust could never safely make distributions for fear of

having to claw back distributions in order to satisfy a "secured" latecomer. There would be a

corresponding negative and dilatory impact on these proceedings. Finally, Asamar has not

satisfied the last two elements of the *Pioneer* test because Asamar has not provided a "reason for

the delay," and has not provided any evidence of acting in good faith.

III. PRAYER

WHEREFORE, premises considered, the Trustee respectfully request that the Court deny

Asamar the relief sought in the Motion, and grant the Trustee such other and further relief as the

Court deems just.

Respectfully submitted,

**BRACEWELL & GIULIANI LLP** 

By: <u>/s/ Jason G. Cohen</u>

Marcy E. Kurtz

Texas Bar No. 11768600

Marcy.Kurtz@bgllp.com

William A. (Trey) Wood III

Texas Bar No. 21916050

Trey.Wood@bgllp.com

L C C 1

Jason G. Cohen

Texas Bar No. 24050435

Jason.Cohen@bgllp.com

711 Louisiana, Suite 2300

Houston, Texas 77002

Telephone: (713) 223-2300

Facsimile: (713) 221-1212

ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEBTORS

HOUSTON\2399906.1 -9-

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned certifies that on July 30, 2010, a true and correct copy of this document was served on all parties on the attached master service list by electronic means as listed on the court's ECF noticing system, by electronic mail as indicated, and/or by United States first class mail, postage prepaid.

/s/ Jason G. Cohen

Jason G. Cohen

# DEEP MARINE HOLDINGS, INC. CONSOLIDATED MASTER SERVICE LIST\*

## **DEBTORS**

Deep Marine Holdings, Inc.
Deep Marine Technology
Incorporated
Deep Marine 1, LLC
Deep Marine 2, LLC
Deep Marine 3, LLC
Deep Marine 4, LLC
PO Box 79926
Houston, TX 77279-9926

John Bittner Grant Thornton LLP 1717 Main Street Dallas, TX 75201 John.Bittner@gt.com Liquidating Trustee

# ATTORNEYS FOR LIQUIDATING TRUST

Marcy E. Kurtz
William A. (Trey) Wood, III
Jason G. Cohen
Bracewell & Giuliani LLP
711 Louisiana, Suite 2300
Houston, TX 77002
marcy.kurtz@bgllp.com
trey.wood@bgllp.com
jason.cohen@bgllp.com

# UNITED STATES TRUSTEE

Office of the U.S. Trustee Attn: Nancy Holley 515 Rusk Ave., Suite 3516 Houston, TX 77002 nancy.holley@usdoj.gov

## **GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES**

Internal Revenue Service 300 East 8<sup>th</sup> Street STOP 5026 AUS Austin, TX 78701

U.S. Attorneys Office Civil Division Southern District of Texas PO Box 61129 Houston, TX 77208 DCC Ventures, LLC Attn: Michael Davies 3960 Howard Hughes Parkway 5th Floor Las Vegas, NV 89169 mike@njkholding.com

Robert R. Weinstine
Winthrop & Weinstine PA
225 South Sixth Street, Suite 500
Minneapolis, MN 55402
RWeinstine@winthrop.com
Attorney for Nasser Kazeminy,
DCC Ventures LLC, Triomphe
Investors, LLC and NJK
Holding Corporation

# **SECURED CREDITORS** \*

Susan C. Mathews

General Electric Capital Corporation Attn: Dale Shores 3 Capital Drive Eden Prairie, MN 55344

Adams and Reese LLP
1221 McKinney, Suite 4400
Houston, TX 77010
susan.mathews@arlaw.com
Attorneys for GE Business Financial

Attorneys for GE Business Financial Services Inc.

Lawrence Rutkowski Seward & Kissel LLP One Battery Park Plaza New York, NY 10004 rutkowski@sewkis.com Attorneys for GE Capital

PNC Bank NA PO Box 94931 Cleveland, OH 44101 Jennifer L. Davis
McGlinchey Stafford PLLC
1001 McKinney Street
Suite 1500
Houston, TX 77002
jdavis@mcglinchey.com
Attorneys for PNC Bank,
National Association, successor
to National City Business Credit,
Inc.

Brad J. Axelrod
McGlinchey Stafford PLLC
301 Main St. - 14th floor
Baton Rouge, LA 70825
baxelrod@mcglinchey.com
Attorneys for PNC Bank,
National Association, successor to
National City Business Credit,
Inc.

HOUSTON\2344906

<sup>\*</sup> Debtors reserve all rights with respect to classification of creditor claims.

Richard A. Aguilar
McGlinchey Stafford PLLC
12<sup>th</sup> Floor, 601 Poydras Street
New Orleans, LA 70130
<u>raguilar@mcglinchey.com</u>
Attorneys for PNC Bank,
National Association, successor
to National City Business Credit,
Inc.

Otto Candies, LLC PO Box 25 Des Allemands LA 70030 otto3@ottocandies.com

Karl Zimmermann
Baldwin Haspel Burke
& Mayer LLC
11 Poydras Street, Suite 2200
New Orleans, LA 70163-2200
karlz@bhbmlaw.com
Attorney for Otto Candies,
L.L.C., Otto Candies, III, and
Candies Shipbuilders, LLC

Thomas S. Henderson
711 Louisiana, Suite 3100
Houston, TX 77002-2716
thenderson@tsh-atty.com
Attorney for Candies
Shipbuilders, LLC, DCC
Ventures, LLC, NJK Holding
Corporation, Nasser Kazeminy,
Triomphe Investors, LLC

# TOP 30 UNSECURED CREDITORS\*

Aramark Business & Industry and Supply
James Wells
Stan Applegate
Pat Leibler
Tom Leaverton
1101 Market Street
Philadelphia,PA 19107
wells-james@aramark.com

Joseph Lubertazzi, Jr.
Angela Abreu
McCarter & English
Four Gateway Center
100 Mulberry Street
Newark, NJ 07102
jlubertazzi@mccarter.com
aabreu@mccarter.com
Attorneys for Aramark Business &
Industry, and Supply

Asamarbunkers c/o Asamar, Inc 1099 Wall Street West Suite 138 Lyndhurst, NJ 07071 asamar@asamar.com

B&J Martin, Inc./MARTIN QUAR 18104 W. Main Galliano, LA 70354 april@bjmartininc.com

BNA Marine Services, LLC 1022 Jackson Road Amelia, LA 70340 bnamarine@aol.com

Bollinger Fourchon, LLC 106 Norman Doucet Dr. Golden Meadow, LA 70357 KimberlyS@bollingershipyards.com Candies Shipbuilders 1100 Oak St Houma, LA 70363 otto3@ottocandies.com

CapRock Communications
Attn: Alan Aronowitz
4400 S. Sam Houston Parkway E
Houston, TX 77048
aaronowitz@caprock.com

Conrad Shipyard, LLC PO Box 790 Morgan City, LA 70381 FANewman@conradindustries.co m

Crossmar Incorporated 1950 A S. Van Ave. Houma LA 70363 emeadow@thecrossgroup.com

Ertech India 307 Bezollah Complex Sion Trombay Rd. Chembur, Mumbai 400071 ertchin@vsnl.com

ES&H 1730 Coteau Rd Houma LA 70364 Fax: 985-851-1978

Bollinger Texas City 2201 Dock Rd, Dock 42 Texas City, TX 77590 KimberlyS@bollingershipyards.com

BP America 501 Westlake Park Blvd WLI Office 24, 108A Houston, TX 77079 vendorauditprogram@bp.com Greenburg Traurig LLP 300 W 6th St., Suite 2050 Austin TX 78701 BattagliniK@gtlaw.com

Greenwood Marine Management I 300 Everett Street Morgan City, LA 70380 dan@greenwoodmarine.com applegate-stan@aramark.com liebler-patrick@aramark.com leaverton-tom@aramark.com

Huisman-Itrec Special Lifting Admiral Trompstraat 2 3115 HH Schiedam PO Box 150 3100 AD Schiedam Harbor #561 NL mheijerman@huisman-nl.com

bdeboer@huisman-nl.com

Intermoor, Inc. PO Box 1599 Amelia LA 70340

Intrepid Global Ind. 11931 Wickchester Lane #300 Houston TX 77043 dsorrel@huisman-intrepid.com

Madcon Corporation 63374 Old Military Rd Pearl River LA 70452 btrader@madconcorp.com

Nexans Norway AS Postboks 6450 Etterstad, Oslo, Norway N-0605 les@carichards.com

Nader C. Kazeminy Chief Executive NJK Holding Corporation 85 Normandale Lake Blvd. Minneapolis MN 55437 nader@njkholding.com Noble Denton Marine 14701 St. Mary's Lane Suite 425 Houston TX 77079 matt.muddiman@nobledenton.com

NREC Power Systems, Inc. 5222 Hwy 311 Houma LA 70360 power@nrecps.com

Ocean Services, LLC 2629 NW 54th St Suite W201 Seattle WA 98107 ronp@stabbertmaritime.com

PNC Bank, N.A. P.O. Box 828702 Philadelphia, PA 19182-8702 Justin.Sohnlein@nationalcity.com

Seagull Marine/Agility 115 Canvasback Drive St. Rose LA 77087 dayet@seagullmarine.com

The IUC Group 222 Fordham St Bronx NY 10464 lgalerne@iucgroup.com

UTEC Survey Inc. 10801 Hammerly Blvd. Suite 212 Houston TX 77043 dave.ross@utecsurvey.com GS-HYDRO-US, Inc 16405 Air Center Blvd, Suite 400 Houston TX 77032 Fax: 713-951-0708

Hugh Ray, Jr.
Hugh M. Ray, III
Paul D. Moak
Basil A. Umari
McKool Smith PC
600 Travis, Suite 7000
Houston, TX 77002
hray@mckoolsmith.com
hmray@mckoolsmith.com
pmoak@mckoolsmith.com
bumari@mckoolsmith.com
Attorneys for the Official
Committee of Unsecured
Creditors

# OTHER PARTIES FOR NOTICE

Tony Gerbino
Jeffrey Whetzel
Grant Thornton LLP
333 Clay Street
Houston, TX 77002-4000
Tony.Gerbino@gt.com
Jeffrey.Whetzel@gt.com

Southwest Bank of Texas Aka Amegy Bank of Texas PO Box 4837 Houston, TX 77210-4837

Merrill Lynch Business Financial Services, Inc. 222 North LaSalle Street 17<sup>th</sup> Floor Chicago, IL 60601

Perry Slingsby Systems 10642 West Little York Suite 100 Houston, TX 77041

<sup>\*</sup> Debtors reserve all rights with respect to classification of creditor claims.

Inspectronic Corporation 222 Fordham Road Bronx, NY 10464-1413

National City Business Credit, Inc. 1965 E. 6<sup>th</sup> Street Cleveland, OH 44114

General Electric Capital Corporation 3135 Easton Turnpike Fairfield, CT 06828-0001

Cisco Systems Capital Corporation 170 W Tasman Drive San Jose, CA 95134

Herc Exchange, LLC 3817 Northwest Expressway Oklahoma City, OK 73112

Radler Enterprises, Inc. 530 Wells Fargo Drive Suite 300 Houston, TX 77090

Mark L. Clark Brown Sims Poydras Center, Suite 2200 650 Poydras Street New Orleans, LA 70130 mclark@brownsims.com Thomas W. Graves Adair & Myers 3120 Southwest Freeway Suite 320 Houston, TX 77098 twg@am-law.com

Gary W. Dugger Dugger & Associates 1177 Enclave Parkway, Suite 250 Houston, TX 77077 duggerlaw@dugger.com

## PARTIES REQUESTING NOTICE

Joel W. Mohrman
Anderson L. Cao
McGlinchey Stafford PLLC
1001 McKinney, Suite 1500
Houston, TX 77002
jmohrman@mcglinchey.com
acao@mcglinchey.com
Attorney for Beauty Elite
Properties, L.P.

Ross Spence Snow Fogel Spence LLP 2929 Allen Parkway, Suite 4100 Houston, TX 77019 rossspence@snowfogel.com Attorney for Michael Rentfrow Stephen L. Williamson
Ryan M. McCabe
Andrew T. Lilly
Montgomery Barnett Brown Read
Hammond & Mintz LLP
3300 Energy Centre
1100 Poydras Street
New Orleans, LA 70163
swilliamson@monbar.com
rmccabe@monbar.com
alilly@monbar.com
Attorneys for Bollinger Fourchon

L.L.C. and Crossmar Inc.

Keith M. Aurzada
John C. Leininger

John C. Leininger Bryan Cave, LLP 2200 Ross Avenue, Suite 3300 Dallas, TX 75201 keith.aurzada@bryancave.com john.leininger@bryancave.com Attorneys for SunChase Holdings, Inc.

Marc Douglas Myers
Adair Myers PLLC
3120 Southwest Freeway
Suite 320
Houston, TX 77098
mm@am-law.com
Attorney for UTEC Surveying,
Inc.

<sup>4</sup> 

Karen A. Cooper Aon Risk Services Southwest, Inc./Aon Energy 1330 Post Oak Blvd, Suite 900 Houston, TX 77056-3089 Karen Cooper@ars.aon.com

David S. Elder Gardere Wynne Sewell LLP 1000 Louisiana, Suite 3400 Houston, TX 77002-5011 delder@gardere.com Attorneys for Oceaneering International, Inc.

McDermott Will & Emery LLP Attn: Gary O. Ravert 340 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10173-1922 gravert@mwe.com

McDermott Will & Emery LLP Attn: Steven G. Spears 1000 Louisiana, Suite 3900 Houston, TX 77002-5005 sspears@mwe.com Attorneys for McDermott Will & Emery LLP

Patrick L. Hughes
Haynes and Boone LLP
1221 McKinney, Suite 2100
Houston, TX 77010
patrick.hughes@haynesboone.com
Attorneys for LLOG Exploration
Company, LLC

Edward L. Rothberg Annie E. Catmull Hoover Slovacek LLP 5847 San Felipe, Suite 2200 Houston, TX 77057 rothberg@hooverslovacek.com catmull@hooverslovacek.com Attorney for CapRock Communications

John P. Dillman Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson LLP PO Box 3064 Houston, TX 77253-3064 Houston bankruptcy@publicans.com Attorneys for Cypress- Fairbanks ISD and Harris County

Blaine F. Bates
Peter Ruggero
Haynes and Boone LLP
1 Houston Center
1221 McKinney, Suite 2100
Houston, TX 77010
Blaine.Bates@haynesboone.com
Peter.Ruggero@haynesboone.com
Attorney for Paul McKim

E. Carroll Rogers
Ronald J. White
Murphy Rogers Sloss & Gambel
701 Poydras Street, Suite 400
New Orleans, LA 70139
crogers@mrsnola.com
Attorneys for Inspectronic
Corporation

Josh N. Bowlin
Chamberlain Hrdlicka White
Williams & Martin
1200 Smith Street, Suite 1400
Houston, TX 77002
josh.bowlin@chamberlainlaw.com
Attorney for Huisman-Itrec
Lifting and Huisman-Intrepid
Services LLC

Omer F. Kuebel, III Locke Lord Bissell & Liddell LLP 601 Poydras Street, Suite 2660 New Orleans, LA 70130 nobankecf@lockelord.com hobankecf@lockelord.com Attorney for BP America, Inc.

Henry A. King King, Krebs & Jurgens 201 St. Charles Avenue, 45<sup>th</sup> Floor New Orleans, LA 70170 <a href="mailto:hking@kingkrebs.com">hking@kingkrebs.com</a> Attorneys for PHI, Inc.

William J. Perry Galloway Johnson Tompkins Burr & Smith 701 Poydras Street, 40<sup>th</sup> Floor New Orleans, LA 70139 wperry@gjtbs.com Attorney for MADCON Corporation

Pierre V. Miller
Patrick Miller & Belleau, L.L.C.
400 Poydras Street
Suite 1680
New Orleans, LA 70130
pmiller@patrickmillerlaw.com
Attorneys for Seagull Marine,
Inc.

James Eloi Doyle Doyle, Restrepo, Harvin & Robbins, LLP 600 Travis, Suite 4700 Houston, Texas 77002 jdoyle@drhrlaw.com Attorney for Boots & Coots Services, LLC Andrew J. Nazar Polsinelli Shughart PC Twelve Wyandotte Plaza 120 West 12<sup>th</sup> Street Kansas City, MO 64105 anazar@polsinelli.com Adam R. Swonke
Wells & Cuellar PC
440 Louisiana, Suite 718
Houston, TX 77002
aswonke@wellscuellar.com
Attorneys for Anadarko
Petroleum Corporation

Lara Pringle
Scott Jenkins
Mark A. Mintz
Jones Walker Waechter Poitevent
Carrere & Denegre
201 St. Charles Avenue, 50<sup>th</sup> Floor
New Orleans, LA 70170
lpringle@joneswalker.com
sjenkins@joneswalker.com
mmintz@joneswalker.com
Attorneys for Conrad Shipyards,
LLC